

Master's Thesis Work Policy and Guidelines

Last Modified	May 2021
Review Date	September 2023
Approval Authority	Deputy Vice-Chancellor
Contact Officer	Dean of Postgraduate Research – Office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor

Introduction

This document covers Master's thesis work and has been developed to assist students, supervisors, and Heads of Department/School (HOD/S) in the efficient completion of a Master's thesis, to help prevent difficulties and disputes, and to assist in the resolution of disputes and problems should they arise.

Policy Statement

This document applies generally to Master's thesis students but since the regulations vary substantially among the wide range of Master's degrees, it is important that these guidelines are read alongside the appropriate degree regulations in the [Calendar \(University Regulations website\)](#) and specific guidelines prepared by individual departments. In any situation where these guidelines seem inconsistent with the relevant Master's regulations, the regulations prevail. The [Research Work for a Masters or Doctoral Thesis \(PDF, 356KB\)](#) should also be referred to.

The HOD/S (which also includes Directors of Research Centres) may delegate responsibility for management of a Master's programme to a Programme Director or other suitable person. In the College of Education, Health and Human Development, thesis student matters are coordinated through the Associate Dean of Postgraduate Studies in Education in the College Office. Students and supervisors in the College of Education, Health and Human Development, should make themselves aware of these College-specific processes, especially where the role of the HOD/S (as specified in this document) is actually undertaken by the Associate Dean. Relevant information can be obtained from the College of Education, Health and Human Development.

Master's Thesis Work

Master's thesis work commonly follows a period of course work that is also part of the Master's degree. Master's thesis work involves a period of individual scholarship and research, usually uninterrupted by other studies, though concurrent enrolment in coursework and thesis is often the case for the ME and is possible for the MSc.

The prerequisites for such course work are given in the [Calendar \(University Regulations website\)](#). In other cases, the Master's degree may be gained by thesis alone, typically when the candidate already possesses a Bachelor's degree with Honours or a Postgraduate Diploma.

Admission to thesis study may depend on the candidate's grades in prior study. Prospective candidates are to ascertain from the relevant College what standard of performance is required for admission

Procedures

It is essential that the [Calendar \(University Regulations website\)](#) be consulted for detailed information on the requirements for entry to Master's thesis work since they vary from College to College and from subject to subject.

1. Pre-enrolment Requirements

A prospective candidate is to discuss, in general terms, with a prospective supervisor possible research projects before enrolling for Master's thesis work. In the case of a student enrolling for a Master's degree that comprises both coursework and a thesis, preliminary discussion of possible areas of research is to occur prior to initial enrolment to ensure that suitable supervision and resources can be provided to support the study.

2. Proposal Approval

Once a Master's proposal has been approved within the department/school, it must be submitted to the appropriate College Office for registration and approval by the College Dean. This must be done within two months from the date of enrolment for thesis work for full-time students, or four months for part-time students and full-time students enrolled concurrently for thesis and coursework. The proposal must be accompanied by the standard submission form available from the relevant College.

Where a research proposal involves a contract other than the "Supervision Agreement" (which is part of the standard registration form) the additional contract must be signed by [Research & Innovation \(see the Contact Us webpage on the University Research website\)](#)

3. Ethics

If the research is dependent on the approval of the University's [Human Ethics Research Committees \(University Human Ethics website\)](#) or Animal Ethics Committee or any other

committee, or organisation, registration of the research proposal should occur prior to submission of the Ethics application (wherever possible). No aspects of the research that require approval from an ethics committee will proceed until appropriate approval has been acquired. If ethical approval is needed for a given research project, this must be specified on the research proposal registration form.

4. Supervisors

As part of the process of approving the research proposal, the College Dean will formally appoint a Senior Supervisor to supervise the work of the candidate. The Senior Supervisor will normally be a member of the continuing academic staff of the University, and (apart from exceptional cases) a member of the department/school in which the candidate is registered. Lack of availability may mean that a candidate's first choice of Senior Supervisor will not be allocated.

The candidate and Senior Supervisor will be supported by a Co-Supervisor and/or one or more Associate Supervisors and/or a supervisory committee, appointed by the HOD/S in consultation with the candidate and the Senior Supervisor. Members of the supervisory team, other than the Senior Supervisor, may be from outside the department/school in which the candidate is registered, and may be from another university or from outside the university system. The names of the members of the supervisory team will be recorded in the College Office. When appropriate, an EFTS split between departments/schools at the University will be recommended.

All supervisors will normally have a research interest and/or methodological expertise relevant to the proposed research of the candidate.

For good reason, which may include the existence of a dispute between the candidate and a member of the supervisory team, the College Dean may replace a Senior Supervisor, and the HOD/S may replace any other member of the supervisory team. If the HOD/S is the supervisor with whom the student is in dispute, the matter should be addressed by the Postgraduate Research Committee or coordinator of postgraduate research in that department/school or, if that is not possible, by the College Dean who may take the matter to the Dean of Postgraduate Research.

Irrespective of whether the thesis work is being done at the University or elsewhere, it is the responsibility of the HOD/S and Senior Supervisor to ensure that there is a satisfactory means of communication between the student and the supervisory team during the course of the thesis work. If the work is to be done elsewhere, the liability for meeting costs of communication should be determined in advance.

5. Place of research and study

(a) For candidates who are not enrolled extramurally

- 5.1 Thesis work will be undertaken at the University of Canterbury, unless an alternative agreement is reached between the supervisors, HOD/S and the student.

- 5.2 Approval for periods of study and research, including field work, in other places of New Zealand other than the University of Canterbury or overseas, must be gained in advance:
- For periods of less than one month, approval must be obtained from the HOD/S.
 - For periods greater than one month, approval must be obtained from the Dean.

In all cases, the HOD/S and the College Dean must be satisfied that the resources (financial or otherwise) necessary to support such work have been discussed and provided (as appropriate) by the student, the University, or some third party.

(b) For candidates who are enrolled extramurally

- 5.3 With the approval of the Dean, and on the recommendation of the HOD/S, a candidate may enroll extramurally for Master's thesis work. Approval will not be granted unless the department/school can show that adequate supervision can be provided and that the necessary resources are available, or can be made available, to the candidate.

6. Reports on Progress

- 6.1 Master's students undertaking thesis work must submit written progress reports to the HOD/S, through the Senior Supervisor, every six months (although the HOD/S or the College Dean can require more frequent reporting), with the first report submitted six months after the date of registration of the research proposal. Candidates will be advised of specific requirements and locations of relevant forms.
- 6.2 The candidate's report should give
- (i) A summary of progress to date;
 - (ii) An outline of the proposed programme for the next six months; and
 - (iii) An outline of any difficulties experienced in respect to supervision, resources, or otherwise.
- 6.3 The HOD/HOS will submit the candidate's report, together with a report on the candidate's progress from the Senior Supervisor, to the College Dean. The student must see the supervisor comments and sign the report, and may also add comments.
- 6.4 If the report is deemed unsatisfactory, or if progress is reportedly unsatisfactory, or if there is a dispute between student and any member of the supervisory team, the College Dean, in consultation with the HOD/S and/or the Senior Supervisor (as appropriate) will take suitable action. This may include discussing with the HOD/S and/or the Senior Supervisor ways in which progress may be improved, the issuing of a formal warning to the student, and,

in the case of a dispute, an attempt at resolution of the dispute.

- 6.5 A student who is dissatisfied with supervision, resources or any other matter affecting progress, is to report the matter to the HOD/S or the appropriate person or committee in the department/school. If still dissatisfied after attempted resolution, the student may take the matter to the College Dean. The student may also seek the advice of the Academic Manager or Senior Student Advisor in the College Office, the Student Advocate at the University of Canterbury Students' Association (UCSA), or the Dean of Postgraduate Research before reporting the matter to the College Dean.

7. Transfer from Master's to PhD

- 7.1 A candidate who has been undertaking thesis work for a Master's degree for at period of at least six-month's full-time-equivalent work (0.5 EFTS) may seek the approval of the Dean of Postgraduate Research to transfer to the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Such an application does not obviate the regulations for entry into a PhD; in particular a student who has not already met the research experience criteria for entry into a PhD must show substantial and objective evidence of high-quality progress in the Master's research. If a Master's candidate is permitted to transfer to a PhD, the date of enrolment will be backdated to the date at which the Master's thesis or project work was commenced. Relevant forms and information can be found on the [University's Enrol website](#).

8. Submission of thesis

- 8.1 When thesis writing has been completed, the student must submit an electronic (PDF) version of the thesis to the Postgraduate Research Office for examination. This should be accompanied by a completed '*Thesis Submission Declaration Form*'.
- 8.2 The thesis will not be sent out for examination until the examiners have been determined (see below) and the necessary forms have been completed. The degree will not be awarded until all outstanding fees have been paid.
- 8.3 Candidates should make themselves familiar with the [Thesis Availability Policy \(PDF, 212KB\)](#), and the [Intellectual Property Policy \(PDF, 502KB\)](#). These policies relate to issues such as the borrowing and consulting theses, and the possibility of imposing an embargo on the dissemination and use of a thesis. Refer to [Restrictions on the use of your thesis \(University Library website\)](#) for further information.

9. Examination of a Master's thesis

- 9.1 Appointment of Examiners

- a) Two examiners will be nominated by the Senior Supervisor, endorsed on a Master's Examiner Request form (available from the Postgraduate Research Office or [Forms for supervisors \(University Postgraduate website\)](#)) by the HOD/S (or appointed delegate) and approved by the Dean of Postgraduate Research. Nominations must be received no later than two weeks after submission of the thesis. Careful consideration should be given to ensuring that an external examiner has expertise appropriate to the subject of the thesis.

Note: If the Senior Supervisor does not nominate examiners within the required time, the HOD/S may be requested to nominate suitable examiners. To shorten the examining process, it is desirable that the Senior Supervisor or delegate approaches the proposed examiners informally prior to submission of the thesis to ascertain their willingness to examine the thesis. Potential examiners should be informed of the likely submission date and told the expectation that examiners' reports be returned within six weeks of their receipt of the thesis.

- b) If the HOD/S is a supervisor, a delegate should be appointed from within the Department/School for the purposes of administering the examination, including the endorsement of examiners.
- c) Prior to the nomination of examiners, the HOD/S or delegate will inform the candidate of the names of the proposed examiners. The candidate has the right to make a case to the Dean of Postgraduate Research that a particular person not be appointed as an examiner.
- d) The External Examiner will be from outside the University and the supervisory team. The Internal Examiner will usually be a member of the academic staff of the University, but will not be the Senior Supervisor and should not normally be a member of the supervisory team. Where there is no suitable Internal Examiner it is possible for two External Examiners to be appointed.

Note: When forwarding the nominations of examiners to the Dean of Postgraduate Research, the HOD/S must comment briefly on the suitability of each examiner and must also certify that there is no relationship between either examiner and any member of the supervisory team or the candidate that might reasonably be considered to impair an examiner's ability to judge the thesis objectively.

- e) In the period between the examiners' appointment and completion of the examination process, the candidate and members of the supervisory team must not discuss with the examiners any matters relating to the thesis.
- f) Where a nominated examiner is unwilling to continue to act in such a role, or fails to perform the duties of an examiner in an appropriate and timely manner, the Dean of Postgraduate Research may appoint a suitably qualified person as a replacement examiner. The Senior Supervisor will nominate a new examiner, but before making such an appointment, the Dean of Postgraduate Research will consult the HOD/S. The Senior Supervisor will inform the candidate of the identity of the replacement examiner and the candidate will be given the opportunity to make a case to the Dean of Postgraduate Research that that person not be appointed.

9.2 Supervisors' Report

- a) Within two weeks after the date of thesis submission the Senior Supervisor must, on behalf of the supervisory team, provide a [Supervisors' Certificate \(University Postgraduate website\)](#), which is sent with the thesis to the examiners.
- b) Any additional guidelines from a given department/school outlining specific requirements for a Master's thesis in that discipline must be provided to the Postgraduate Research Office and these will be sent to the examiners along with the University guidelines.

9.3 Process of examination

- a) To ensure the integrity and transparency of the examination process, once examiners have been nominated, all communications regarding the examination must be through the Postgraduate Research Office. If necessary the Postgraduate Research Office will contact the relevant department/school or supervisor for advice before responding to the examiners.
- b) The two examiners will each submit both a completed Master's Examiner Report Form and an completed Master's Examiner Recommendation form to the Dean of Postgraduate Research..
- c) A final grade for the thesis will be determined as follows:
 - i. Where the two examiners' grades are the same, that grade will be awarded.
 - ii. Where there is a discrepancy of one grade between the examiners' recommendations, the higher grade will be awarded.
 - iii. Where there is a discrepancy of two grades in the recommendation of the two independent examiners, the mid-point will be awarded. For example, if the recommended grades are an A and a B+, then the mid-point of A- will be automatically awarded.
 - iv. Where there is a discrepancy of more than two grades (e.g., A and B) between the recommendations of the examiners, the HoD/S will be required by the Dean of Postgraduate Research to communicate with the examiners to seek a consensus final grade. If consensus cannot be reached, the Dean of Postgraduate Research will appoint a suitably qualified adjudicator, nominated by the Senior Supervisor and endorsed by the HOD/S or nominee. The adjudicator will read and provide comments on the thesis and the examiners' report, and recommend a final grade that cannot be outside the bounds set by the original examiners' grades.

Note: In 9.3c (i) through (iii), the grade will be determined and signed off by the Postgraduate Research Office. In the case of a consensus or adjudication, the departmental Postgraduate Coordinator will sign off the final agreed grade once these processes have been successfully completed.

If deemed necessary the Dean of Postgraduate Research may discuss the examiners' reports with members of the supervisory team.

Under exceptional circumstances, the HoD/S may make a case to the Dean of Postgraduate Research that the usual processes as described above not be used for a given student.

- d) Oral examinations are not normally held for Master's candidates. However, the examiners may recommend that the candidate undertake an oral examination prior to determining the grade to be awarded for the thesis. Such a recommendation must be approved by the Dean of Postgraduate Research who will, with advice from the HOD/S, appoint a suitably qualified independent person to organise and chair the oral examination. Those involved in the oral examination will be the organiser, the candidate, and one or both examiners.

Note: The Dean of Postgraduate Research may approve the appointment of a substitute examiner for the oral examination. The candidate may be accompanied by an observer and/or a support person, but such persons cannot take an active part in the examination.

- e) On completion of the thesis examination process, the Postgraduate Research Office will provide to the candidate and the Senior Supervisor copies of the examiners' reports.

9.4 Corrections to Master's thesis

- a) The examiners will not require, nor allow, substantive revisions or corrections of the thesis.
- b) The examiners may recommend that, as a condition of the award of a particular grade for the thesis, specified editorial corrections be made to the thesis before it is deposited in the University Library.
- c) The candidate must make any required corrections within six weeks of notification of the examiners' recommendations, unless the Dean of Postgraduate Research grants a longer period.
- d) The Internal Examiner will normally be responsible for notifying the Postgraduate Research Office when the student has made the required corrections. Where there is no Internal Examiner, the Dean of Postgraduate Research may nominate a continuing member of staff of the University to certify that the required corrections have been made.
- e) The Postgraduate Research Office will formally notify the candidate of the final result once this has been determined.

9.5 Deposit of thesis

- a) After approval for the award of a Master's degree, the Postgraduate Office will submit an electronic (PDF) copy of the final version of the thesis to the

University Library. The degree will not be awarded until the copy of the thesis has been received by the Library.

9.6 Variation

- a) The Dean of Postgraduate Research has the power to vary this document in respect of a particular candidate where special or unusual circumstances warrant it.

10. Appeals

A candidate may appeal to the Academic Appeals Committee against the outcome of the thesis examination, as per [Academic Appeals and Grievance Regulations \(University Regulations website\)](#). An appeal can be made only on the grounds that the procedure adopted in arriving at the decision either (a) breached a University Regulation or (b) breached the laws of natural justice. Please refer to the [Academic Appeals and Grievances Principles and Procedures \(PDF, 396KB\)](#) for further guidance.

Guidelines

Admission

Prospective students should be given the departmental/school guide to policies and procedures relating to Master's thesis work. Included with that material should be a link to the current version of this document via the [UC Policy Library \(University UC Policy Library website\)](#).

Nature of Master's thesis work

Typically a thesis is completed within one or two years of full-time study, or the part-time equivalent, but the time limits and restrictions vary from subject to subject. The Calendar must be consulted for definitive information.

Notwithstanding the time limits available for its completion, a thesis should comprise a body of work which could, in the given discipline, be completed in the equivalent of one full calendar year of full-time study (i.e., 1 EFTS).

A Master's thesis is expected to demonstrate the student's ability to undertake independent research under guidance, using the established methods of research and analysis appropriate to the particular discipline or subject area.

Research Proposal

A student enrolled to do a Master's thesis may, in some cases, adopt a research proposal already formulated within the department/school. In other cases, the student works under the guidance of an academic staff member (a potential supervisor) to develop a research proposal.

If the student is conducting course work preparatory to a Master's thesis, a tentative research plan may be developed over the time that the coursework is being completed. Normally a provisional research proposal would be submitted to the department/school postgraduate research committee, or postgraduate coordinator, for consideration, discussion and feedback.

The final form of the research proposal should be presented in sufficient detail for the potential Senior Supervisor and HOD/S to be able to comment on its suitability for Master's thesis work and the availability of resources to support the research work. It should include a realistic planned timetable including deadlines, a list of objectives, resources required (including technical assistance), and description of methods to be used. It should provide details of any special supervisory arrangements (for example, provision of alternative supervision in the case of the Senior Supervisor taking study leave). There should be statements about who has been consulted about the project, including general staff whose assistance may be required in the course of the work.

Supervisors

The most common situation for Master's candidates is to have a Senior Supervisor and one Co-Supervisor, but this does not preclude other arrangements being made as appropriate.

Supervisor Certificate when submitting a Supervisors' Report

The Supervisors' Certificate should provide brief information about the working relationship between the student and the supervisory team, the role of the supervisors in the development of the research ideas and preparation of the thesis, and the extent to which the thesis is the candidate's own work (especially in cases where the work was done in collaboration with others).

Definitions

Associate Supervisor – a supervisor who provides additional academic advice to the candidate, but provides less input than the senior supervisor or co-supervisor.

Co-supervisor – a supervisor who takes substantial responsibility for provision of academic advice to the candidate, under the coordination of the Senior Supervisor.

Extramural study – distance study from outside of Christchurch

External Examiner – an examiner who is external to the University and to the supervisory team.

Internal Examiner – an examiner who is usually an academic staff member of the University but is not usually a member of the supervisory team.

Senior Supervisor – the supervisor who takes the major responsibility for the provision of academic advice to the candidate and who also acts as the coordinator of the supervisory team. The senior supervisor assumes administrative responsibilities on behalf of the supervisory team (e.g., completing progress report forms).

Related Documents and Information

Regulations

- [Academic Appeals and Grievances Regulations \(University Regulations website\)](#)

UC Policy Library

- [Academic Appeals and Grievances Principles and Procedures \(PDF, 396KB\)](#)
- [Intellectual Property Policy \(PDF, 502KB\)](#)
- [Research Work for a Masters or Doctoral Thesis \(PDF, 354KB\)](#)
- [Thesis Availability Policy \(PDF, 212KB\)](#)

UC Website and Intranet

- [Calendar \(University Regulations website\)](#)
- [Human Ethics Committees \(University Human Ethics website\)](#)
- [Forms \(University Postgraduate website\)](#)
- [Forms for examiners and oral chairs \(University Postgraduate website\)](#)
- [Student Services and Communications \(University Student Services and Communications website\)](#)
- [Supervisors' Certificate \(University Postgraduate website\)](#)
- [Transfer from an Incomplete UC Masters to PhD \(University Enrol website\)](#)
- [Research Support \(University Library website\)](#)
- [Restrictions on the use of your thesis \(University Library website\)](#)

Document History and Version Control Table			
Version	Action	Approval Authority	Action Date
<i>For document history and versioning prior to 2013 contact ucpolicy@canterbury.ac.nz</i>			
1.00	Converted document onto new template.	Policy Unit	Oct 2013
1.01	Updated hyperlinks.	Policy Unit	Nov 2013
1.02	Document review date pushed out.	Policy Unit	Mar 2014
1.03	Approval Authority changed from Chair, Academic Board to Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic).	Policy Unit	Mar 2014
1.04	Hyperlinks updated.	Policy Unit	Sep 2014
2.00	Scheduled review conducted by Contact Officer. Appeal information added. Approval Authority changed to Deputy	Policy Unit	Nov 2015

	Vice-Chancellor (Research).		
2.01	'Faculty' references removed/changed to 'College' to reflect College-Faculty merger.	Policy Unit	Jun 2016
2.02	Approval Authority updated to Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation).	Policy Unit	Mar 2017
2.03	Updated Approval Authority to Deputy Vice-Chancellor.	Policy Unit	Sep 2017
3.00	Scheduled review by CO, minor changes to content, re-formatting of content into policy and guidelines heading	Deputy Vice-Chancellor	June 2019
3.01	Unscheduled review by Contact Officer, procedural changes approved by Academic Board, endorsed by AAC and PGRC	Policy Unit	July 2020
4.00	Scheduled review by CO, minor changes only	Policy Unit	May 2021
4.01	Change review date to September 2023	Policy Unit	Dec 2022